

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE (S)
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
I. THE EVIDENCE SHOWING THAT THE UNITED STATES EXERCISED POLICY-BASED DISCRETION IN LEAVING ASBESTOS MANAGEMENT ANDS WORKER SAFETY TO THE HAWAII AIR NATIONAL GUARD REMAINS UNCONTROVERTED	3
II. THE UNITED STATES DID NOT IMPOSE ANY MANDATORY AND SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS ON ITSELF, NOT DID IT RETAIN ANY DUTY FOR THE HAWAII AIR GUARD'S EMPLOYEES	10
III. PLAINTIFFS RELY ON INAPPOSITE OR OUTDATED AUTHORITY, AND FAILS TO ADDRESS CONTROLLING SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT	12
CONCLUSION	18